UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,640	01/04/2002	Jonathan S. Stinson	792-62 RCE	9194	
23869 HOFFMANN	7590 06/29/2007 & RARON LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP 6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE			EREZO, D	EREZO, DARWIN P	
SYOSSET, NY	(11/91	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3731		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			06/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			Se			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	00			
Advisory Action	10/038,640	STINSON, JONATH	AN S.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
• 9	Darwin P. Erezo	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		in the final rejection, wh	ichavar is latar In			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of						
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	, -	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 6 and 76-93. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-3,14,15,17,25,5 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	52,53,55,57-62,64-68 and 72-75.					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			

13. Other: ____.

See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The applicant argued that Pinchuk fails to teach a stent having all obtusely braided portions. This is not persuasive because the claim limitations do not clearly state the orientation of the obtuse angles (as stated in the Final Office action, page 4 paragraph 5). The applicant further argued that the specification clearly states that the angles are defined along the longitudinal axis of the stent. However, t is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). As such, the rejections are maintained.

(JACKIE) TAN-UYEN HO PRIMARY EXAMINER